APPENDIX XV.

[Vide answer to unstarred question No. 54 asked by Sri K. Krishna Rao at the meeting of the Legislative Assembly held on 16th July 1953, page 278 supra.]

District.	Number of landholders who filed particulars under rule 1 of the rules framed under sections 3 (4) and 7 of the Rent Reduction Act.	Number of landholders who defaulted in furnishing the particulars.	Number of landholders whose default is for one year and above.	Number of prosecutions launched against the defaulters.	Remarks.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Visakhapatnam	3,702	2,572	2,568	..	....
Srikakulam	1,175	2,697	178	..	....
West Godavari	478	331	..	..	All these landholders except three have since furnished the accounts.
East Godavari	341	12	12	..	....
Krishna	241	25	25	..	....
Guntur	150	178	126	..	These figures exclude Tenali taluk in which all the landholders have defaulted for more than one year.
Kurnool	89	..	..	..	....
Bellary	25	..	..	..	Four villages in this district under the Rent Reduction Act are covered by stay orders.
Anantapur	11	5	..	..	....
Cuddapah	214	..	..	..	....
Chittoor	709	362	293	..	....
Nellore	238	151	151	..	....
Chingleput	514	10	5	..	....
Madras	6	..	..	..	....
North Arcot	163	..	..	..	....
South Arcot	28	..	..	..	....
Tanjore	1,305	5,537	3,517	..	....
Tiruchirappalli	389	10	10	..	The landholders have not furnished particulars for faslis 1357 and 1358. No collections made with reference to rule 1 (2).
Madurai	3	7	..	..	....
Ramanathapuram ..	1,707	333	328	..	....
Tirunelveli	292	22	22	..	....
Coimbatore	13	2	2	..	....
Salem	326	211	211	..	....